

pour le développement HydroSciences Montpellier



Multi-site modeling of land surface-atmosphere exchanges at the extent of an agricultural Mediterranean region

Carlo Montes^{1,*} (Carlo.Montes@nasa.gov), Jean-Paul Lhomme¹, Jérôme Demarty², Laurent Prévot³ and Frédéric Jacob¹ ¹IRD, UMR LISAH (INRA-IRD-SupAgro), 34060, Montpellier, France ²IRD, UMR HSM, 34095, Montpellier, France ³INRA, UMR LISAH, 34060, Montpellier, France

*Currently at NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, NY



Measured λE_t [W m⁻²]



1 Context and objectives 4 Modeling approach 7 Results: site-scale model performance The accurate monitoring of the water cycle requires 1) Calibration of vegetation module $\mathbf{RMSE} = \mathbf{14} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{m}^{-2}$ **Discontinuous plant structures** the knowledge about the spatial and temporal • Hourly simulations $R^2 = 0.96$ • Bare soil / grazing / main foliage ET main component dynamics of water and energy exchanges between • Validation with eddy covariance ET • Deep rooting the land surface and the atmosphere. This is Forcing: meteorological and soil water Ο Strong seasonality particularly important in Mediterranean regions, Reference height (z)content (θ) where evapotranspiration (ET) is the main 2) Calibration of vegetation and soil modules Mean source height $(z_m = d + z_0)$ component of the water balance and future • Daily simulations projections in climate show a systematic decrease

in annual rainfall.

The main goal of this work is to develop a modeling approach of soilvegetation-atmosphere transfers at the extent of an agricultural watershed. A 1-D model with regional focus was proposed to simulate the energy and water balance of a row crop (vineyard).

The approach is focused on three points:

Appropriate model formalism adapted to the study region
 Obtaining realistic simulations by multi-objective calibration
 Multi-site simulations by using remote sensing

2 Study site



La Peyne watershed (65 km²) Département de l'Hérault Southern France Gentle slopes terrain (4.5%) Subhumid Mediterranean climate 720 mm y⁻¹ annual rainfall





Two modules: vegetation (energy balance) and soil water balance
 Contribution from 3 sources: bare soil bs (1), grass cover vs (2), main foliage f (3)
 Infiltration (I) F(rainfall), neglecting runoff
 Drainage (D): excess in relation to retention capacity
 Capillary rise (CR): Darcy's law

Total hourly latent heat (λE_t) obtained by (Lhomme et al., 2012) $\lambda E_t = \left(\frac{\Delta' + \gamma}{\gamma}\right) \left(P_f + P_{vs} + P_{bs}\right) \lambda E_p + \frac{\Delta}{\gamma} \left(P_f A_f r_{a,h}^f + P_{vs} A_{vs} r_a^{vs} + P_{bs} A_{bs} r_a^{bs}\right) / r_a$

Daily dynamic (*j*) balance of soil water content (SWC) $SWC_j = SWC_{j-1} + I_j \pm CR_j - \sum_{h=1}^{24} ET_j \pm D_j$ Eddy covariance ET

Neutron probes soil water content

Forcing: meteorological data



8 Results: Multi-site model performance

Comparison of the performance of ASTER and Landsat ET to calibrate the model ET and SWC for 2 contrasting sites in terms of soil water content:



Land use: 70% cultivated with vineyards 90% of rainfed vineyards Strong plot fragmentation (1 km)



P3

P5

P6

P4

3 In-situ and satellite data

In-situ measurements were conducted on 6 experimental plots according to water stress conditions (high, intermediate, low)

• Meteorological data P4

5 Model calibration

Model calibration problem:



Method: Multi-objective calibration Iterative Procedure (Demarty et al, 2005)
 Iterative model sensitivity analysis

- $\circ~$ Successive contractions of the feasible parameter space
- 0 10 iterations of 2.000 Monte Carlo simulations using random parameters
- $| \circ$ Minimization of two objective functions: RMSE for ET and SWC

6 Satellite evapotranspiration

Eddy Covariance P6 Soil moisture, vegetation (height, LAI) and watertable P1-P6



Satellite imagery ASTER 11 images 2007-2008 (90 m TIR) Landsat 7 ETM+ 12 images 2007-2008 (60 m TIR)



Some degree of correspondence between sites and parameters

9 Concluding remarks of preliminary results

Model performance → restitution of daily and seasonal dynamics
 Model performance → restitution of key processes after calibration
 Multi-site approach possible with limitations → in situ input data
 Further research → calibration for phenological stages and top soil water content (Ground Penetrating Radar)

Lhomme, J.P., C. Montes, F. Jacob and L. Prévot. 2012. Evaporation from heterogeneous and sparse canopies: on the formulations related to multi-source representations. *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.* 144, 243-262.

Montes, C., J.P. Lhomme, J. Demarty, L. Prévot and F. Jacob. 2014. A three-source SVAT modeling of evaporation: application to the seasonal dynamics of a grassed vineyard. *Agric. Forest Meteorol*. 191, 64-80.

Demarty, J., C. Ottlé, I. Braud, A. Olioso, J.P. Frangi, H.V. Gupta and L.A. Bastidas. 2005. Constraining a physically based Soil-Vegetation-Atmosphere Transfer model with surface water content and thermal infrared brightness temperature mea-surements using a multiobjective approach. *Water Resour. Res.* Vol. 41, W01011.