Initial results from BioSCape leaf-level spectral libraries

Henry Frye, Phil Townsend, Jasper Slingsby, Simcelile Chenge, Kyle Kovach, Ryan Pavlick, Fabian Schneider, Jeannine Cavender-Bares John Silander, Cindy Jones

Acknowledgments

BioSCape

Jasper Slingsby

Jeannine Cavender-Bares **Steven Augustine Doug Euston-Brown** Brendan Heberlein Andy Hueni Cynthia Jones Kyle Kovach Glenn Moncrieff Kagiso Nhlapo Maria Santos Fabian Schneider John Silander Nancy Silander **Chenge Simcelile**

Giulia Tagliabue Emily Townsend Phil Townsend **Dimensions of Biodiversity** Matthew Aiello-Lammens **Douglas Euston-Brown** Cynthia Jones Hayley Kilroy Mollmann Cory Merow Jasper Slingsby Helga van der Merwe Adam Wilson

John Silander

Funding Institutions:





Supporting Institutions:







South African National Biodiversity Institute



Department of **Environment and Nature Conservation Northern Cape**

Question 1: How well do spectra collected in the Cape Floristic Region predict traits from existing models?

Question 2: How do spectra vary with plant traits and environment?

Question 1: How well do spectra collected in the Cape Floristic Region predict traits from existing models?

Links to the broader questions

Questions of generality of algorithms within/among biomes

Question 2: How do spectra vary with plant traits and environment?

Understand patterns of foliar trait variation in the BioSCape

Why leaf-level spectral libraries

- It's the foundation for we train models to predict traits in AVIRIS imagery
- It gives us insight on how spectra vary without the influence of canopy structure and substrate



Fresh and dry spectral libraries

- Fresh spectral library from BioSCape collected in 2023
 - 7885 measurements
 - ~600 spp. from 60+ plant families
 - Measured with Spectral Evolution PSR+ using leaf clip and custom contact probe
- **Dry spectral** library from Dimensions of Biodiversity (2010-2015)
 - **1915** measurements
 - 676 spp. from 72 plant families
 - Measured with ASD FieldSpec



Fresh and dry spectral libraries

- Fresh spectral library from BioSCape collected in 2023
 - 7885 measurements
 - ~600 spp. from 60+ plant families
 - Measured with Spectral Evolution PSR+ using leaf clip and custom contact probe
- <u>Dry spectral</u> library from Dimensions of Biodiversity (2010-2015)
 - 1915 measurements
 - 676 spp. from 72 plant families
 - Measured with ASD FieldSpec. A big thank you to the Slingsby lab!



Dry vs. fresh spectra (Protea repens)



Question 1: How well do spectra collected in the Cape Floristic Region predict traits from existing models?



Question 1: How well do spectra collected in the Cape Floristic Region predict traits from existing models?



Predicted

Approach:



Dry model results (Dimensions)

Leaf % Nitrogen Model performs reasonably well compared for extrapolated data (similar studies tend to find R² values in the .8 to .9 range)



Dry model results (Dimensions)

Leaf % Nitrogen When split by major families, <u>families that</u> <u>comprises major</u> <u>South African</u> <u>radiations perform</u> <u>much worse</u>.



Dry model results (Dimensions)

Leaf % Carbon Again, <u>reasonable</u> <u>performance for</u> <u>extrapolated data</u>. Similar studies tend to find R² values in the .7 to .8 range.



Dry model results (Dimensions)

Leaf % Carbon

Lowest performance associated with graminoid families (Restionaceae and Poaceae)



Fresh model results (BioSCape)

Leaf % water

Still processing trait data for BioSCape

Predicted BioSCape traits do match general trends measured from Dimensions



Leaf-level trait model takeaways

- **Takeaway 1:** Based on dry results, models are influenced by what families and growth forms they are predicting
 - Need to train models on endemic CFR lineages and certain growth forms, e.g. graminoids.
- **Takeaway 2:** Some traits like water content may be more generalizable than others

Question 2: How do spectra vary with plant traits and environment?

Question 2: How do spectra vary with plant traits and environment?

PCA of spectral library





Fresh model variation (BioSCape)

A large portion of spectral variation explained by two principal component axes

Principal components analysis for fresh spectra



Fresh model variation (BioSCape)

The two large axes likely explained by:

Water conservation traits (x-axis)

Nutrient availability (yaxis)



Fresh model variation (BioSCape)

Soil data weakly associated with spectral variation in leaves

Not shown: Climate variables are even weaker



Soil data from Cramer et al., 2019 *Diversity and Distributions*

Weak correlations between spectrally predicted traits and environment





Fresh spectral variation takeaways

- **Takeaway 1:** A large portion of spectral variation is likely due to trade-offs in structural investments and nutrient availability.
 - Note that the lower axes of variation are still important
- **Takeaway 2:** Based on leaf level results, we can make some hypotheses for trends we may observes in trait maps:
 - Weak associations with predicted traits and environment. Variation in soil will likely be stronger than climatic variables

Next directions

- Measuring traits and dry spectroscopy for BioSCape
- Processing AVIRIS-NG imagery
- Trait map production



Questions?

Contact me at: hfrye2@wisc.edu