

Project

Ecosystem Functional Diversity

of the Circumpolar Arctic Tundra

H. Epstein¹, A. Armstrong¹, D. Alcaraz-Segura², B. Cazorla³, A. Castro³, M. Raynolds⁴, Q. Yu⁵



1 University of Virginia, 2 University of Granada, 3 University of Almeria, 4 University of Alaska Fairbanks, 5 George Washington University



One of several NASA Ecological Forecasting / Biodiversity projects supporting the GEO-BON Work Programme



A global system of harmonized observations is needed to inform scientists and policymakers



Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs)

www.sciencemag.org SCIENCE VOL 339 18 JANUARY 2013 ECOLOGY Published by AAAS POLICYFORUM

Essential Biodiversity Variables

H. M. Pereira,^{14†} S. Ferrier,² M. Walters,³ G. N. Geller,⁴ R. H. G. Jongman,⁵ R. J. Scholes,³ M. W. Bruford,⁵ N. Brummitt,⁷ S. H. M. Butchart,⁸ A. C. Cardoso,⁹ N. C. Coops,¹⁰ E. Dulloo,¹¹ D. P. Faith,¹² J. Freyhof,¹³ R. D. Gregory,¹⁴ C. Heip,¹⁵ R. Höft,¹⁶ G. Hurtt,¹⁷ W. Jetz,¹⁸ D. S. Karp,¹⁹ M. A. McGeoch,²⁰ D. Obura,²¹ Y. Onoda,²² N. Pettorelli,²³ B. Reyers,²⁴ R. Sayre,²⁵ J. P. W. Scharlemann,^{25,27} S. N. Stuart,²⁸ E. Turak,²⁹ M. Walpole,²⁶ M. Wegmann³⁰

- Minimum set of essential measures that capture main biodiversity dimensions: composition, structure, and <u>function</u>
- Inform biodiversity status
- Sensitive to biodiversity change



Agree on biodiversity metrics to track from space

Ecologists and space agencies must forge a global monitoring strategy, say **Andrew K. Skidmore**, **Nathalie Pettorelli** and colleagues.

Project Background

- Biodiversity hierarchy can be assessed along three dimensions: composition, structure, and **function** (Noss, 1990)
- Primary production (carbon cycling) dynamics are integrative descriptors of ecosystem function and an Essential Biodiversity Variable candidate of GEO-BON (Pereira et al., 2013; Skidmore et al., 2015)
- Ecosystem Functional Diversity based on dynamics of primary productivity can be assessed by means of Ecosystem Functional Types (EFTs), patches of the land surface that process energy and matter in similar ways and potentially show coordinated responses to environmental factors (Valentini,1999; Paruelo et al. 2001).
- Our goal is to develop an **Ecosystem Functional Diversity** set of products for the **Circumpolar Arctic**





Not a completely new idea...



- Denotes areas of functional similarity
- Agnostic to vegetation composition or structure

Alcaraz-Segura et al. 2013

Project Goals

- 1. To **develop** and **implement** a processing stream for the production of EFTs and Ecosystem Functional Diversity variables for the circumpolar Arctic Tundra
- 2. To **evaluate** the environmental and human controls on the spatial patterns of EFTs and EF-Diversity with respect to climatic, topographic/geological, and land use gradients
- 3. To **analyze** the relationships between vegetation composition and EFTs and EF-Diversity for the Arctic Tundra biome
- 4. To **assess** the inter-annual dynamics of EFTs and EF-Diversity throughout the Arctic tundra over the past 17+ years
- To assess the conservation priority (e.g. vulnerability) of current and potential protected areas within the Arctic Tundra biome, based on properties of Ecosystem Functional Diversity (e.g. functional richness, rareness) and their inter-annual dynamics.





Methodological Framework



Ecosystem Functional Attributes

What attributes of the seasonal NDVI curve represent the most important modes of variability in the dataset?

We investigated key ecosystem functional attributes (characteristics of the seasonal NDVI curve) using a Principal Components Analysis (PCA) on the 16-day composite NDVI data.



RGB image of PCs 1-3. Red is PC1, Green is PC2, Blue is PC3.

Subsequent correlations of PCs with numerous NDVI metrics revealed 3 key EFAs for our study domain.

Ecosystem Functional Types

• EFTs: Patches of the land-surface with similar dynamics of matter and/or energy exchanges between the biota and the physical environment (Paruelo et al. 2001, Alcaraz-Segura et al. 2006).



Circumpolar Arctic Tundra Mean NDVI 2001-2018

MODIS 13Q1 - 250m , 16-day composite





Circumpolar Arctic Tundra Date of Green-up 2001-2017

MODIS 13Q1 – 250m , 16-day composite





Circumpolar Arctic Tundra Date of Senescence 2001-2017

MODIS 13Q1 – 250m , 16-day composite





Circumpolar Arctic Tundra Ecosystem Functional Types





Using the new raster version of the Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map

 Identify the functional diversity within vegetation types



2) Identify different vegetation types that may be functioning similarly

we can:

Cave Subzone A Circumpolar Arctic Tundra Ecosy Ecosystem Functional Types by CAVM CAVM Vegetation Subzones



CAVM Subzone E

EFT Number Key						
NDVI		Greening		Brow	Browning	
100	low	30	Early	1	Early	
200	mid-low	20	Mid	2	Mid	
300	mid	10	Late	3	Late	
400	mid-high					
500	high					





Next Steps...

45° E

80° N

100 200

Kilometers

- 1) Compare EFTs with vegetation types within CAVM Subzones
- Calculate and derive EFT diversity and distribution metrics 2)
- 3) Environmental and land use controls on EFT distribution
- Comparing patterns and dynamics of functional diversity using EFTs 4)
- 5) Interannual and other temporal EFT dynamics

